





DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FiLi	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/536,205	03/27/2000		Kayla R. Klingman	6836-US	3499
7	590	03/29/2002			
Thomas F Lenihan Tektronix Inc PO Box 500				EXAMINER	
				CHUNG, DANIEL J	
Delivery Station 50-Law Beaverton, OR 97077				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dou't orton, Ort	2.0			2672	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/536,205	KLINGMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel J Chung	2672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims A) M. Claim(s), 1.6 is/are pending in the application.							
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 	wn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with thorn consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application Papers	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa r	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		- 					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	,						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.					
Attachment(s)	,,	animater terms					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement of 6-24-2000, which has been placed in the application file and considered by the Examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are not objected to by the Examiner.

Specification

Please review the application and correct all informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Art Unit: 2672

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Etheridge et al (5,986,637).

Regarding claim 1, Etheridge et al discloses that the claimed feature of a method of operating an oscilloscope that is capable of displaying simultaneously multiple waveforms representing time evolution of a signal during respective acquisition intervals, comprising:

- a) acquiring[30] waveform data using a first set of acquisition parameters (See Fig 1, Fig 3)
- b) generating[50] a display based on the waveform data acquired in step a), in the event that the display generated in step b) includes a waveform that is visually distinct from other displayed waveforms (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Abstract, col 11 line 44-46)
- c) selecting[57] a feature[i.e. "threshold number", "color"] that distinguishes the visually distinct waveform from other displayed waveforms, (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Abstract, col 11 line 46-51)
- d) automatically deriving[55,57] acquisition parameters that discriminate between the selected feature and other features of the displayed waveforms, (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Abstract, col 3 line 35-col 4 line 6, col 11 line 20-col 12 line 17)

Art Unit: 2672

e) acquiring[30] waveform data using the acquisition parameters derived in step d), and

f) generating[50] a display["new composited image"] based on the waveform data acquired in step e) (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Abstract, col 3 line 35-col 4 line 6, col 11 line 20col 12 line 17)

Regarding claim 2, Etheridge et al discloses that step c) includes graphically defining a template that specifies the selected feature and step d) includes employing information regarding the template to derive additional acquisition parameters. (See Fig. 1, Fig 3, col 12 line 9-16)

Regarding claim 3, Etheridge et al discloses that the oscilloscope has multiple trigger modes[20], step c) includes graphically defining a template that specifies the selected feature and step d) includes employing information regarding the template to select a trigger mode for preferentially acquiring waveforms that include the selected feature. (See Fig 1, Fig 2, Fig 3, Abstract, col 3 line 35-col 4 line 6)

Regarding claim 6, claim 6 is similar in scope to the claim 1, and thus the rejection to claim 1 hereinabove is also applicable to claim 6.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Etheridge et al in view of Taraki. (5,742,276)

Regarding claim 4, Etheridge et al discloses that the template is a scalable rectangular box and step c) includes positioning and sizing the box so that it contains the selected feature. (See Fig 1, Fig 3, Abstract, col 3 line 35-col 4 line 6)

Etheridge et al does not specifically disclose that "the template is a rectangular box". However, Taraki teaches such feature["box" as template] of claimed invention. (See Fig 2-5) The motivation would have been to provide clear visual representation for selecting various display parameters with easy manner. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Taraki into the teaching of Etheridge et al.

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claim 5, refer to the discussion for the claim 1 and 4 hereinabove,

Taraki discloses that the oscilloscope has a display screen on which the waveforms are

displayed and the template is a sketch generated on the display screen. (See Fig 2-5)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-3419. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30am- 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael, Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2672

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

djc March 20, 2002

> MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mule C